

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 WILLIAM W. McCLELLAN,

12 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-01-0847 DFL PAN P

13 vs.

14 SUZAN HUBBARD, Warden,

15 Respondent.

ORDER

16 _____/
17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ
18 of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

20 On April 15, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
21 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
22 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Petitioner
23 has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.


24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
25 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire
26 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by

proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed April 15, 2005, are adopted in full;
- and
2. The petition for habeas corpus is denied.

DATED: 9/23/2005



DAVID F. LEVI
United States District Judge